

**Minutes of Meeting
SATS TECHNICAL COMMITTEE
October 3, 2013**

ATTENDANCE

Technical Committee Voting Members

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Nathan Bottom, Chair	City of Springfield
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Tim Zahrn, Vice Chair	Sangamon County
<input type="checkbox"/>	Mike Williamsen	Village of Chatham
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Frank Squires	Springfield Mass Transit District
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Norm Sims	Springfield-Sangamon County Regional Planning Commission
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Laura Mlacnik*	Illinois Dept. of Transportation (IDOT): Region 4, District 6

* Represented by Sal Madonia

Technical Committee Advisors – Non-Voting

<input type="checkbox"/>	Thomas Caldwell	IDOT: Urban Program Planning
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	JD Stevenson	Federal Highway Administration: Illinois Division Office
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Terry Fountain*	IDOT: District 6: Local Roads and Streets
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Mike Stead	Illinois Commerce Commission
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Mark Hanna**	Springfield Airport Authority
<input type="checkbox"/>		IDOT: Division of Public and Intermodal Transportation

* Represented by Ron Rybolt

** Represented by Roger Blickensderfer

Others

Jim Moll - Hanson Professionals
 Bill Davison – Knight E/A
 Tim Landis – State Journal-Register
 Kevin Kuhn – Kuhn and Trello Consulting
 Elliott McKinley – Springfield Park District
 Stan Hansen – Crawford, Murphy, and Tilly
 Ed Dougherty – IDOT District 6 Community Liaison
 Kirk Farah – WTAX
 Brad Mills – Regional Planning Commission
 Dale Schultz – Regional Planning Commission
 Linda Wheeland – Regional Planning Commission
 Amy Uden – Regional Planning Commission
 Neha Soni – Regional Planning Commission
 Brian Sheehan – Regional Planning Commission

I. CALL TO ORDER

Chair Nathan Bottom called the meeting of the SATS Technical Committee to order at 8:30 AM.

II. APPROVAL OF MINUTES –SATS Technical Committee Meeting

Chair Bottom asked if there were any additions or corrections to the minutes of the September 5, 2013 Technical Committee meeting. Sal Madonia made a motion to approve the minutes as submitted and Frank Squires seconded the motion. The vote to approve was unanimous.

III. 2035 LONG RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN

No report was given.

IV. FY 2013-2016 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

A. Administrative Modification #14 (Dirksen Parkway Intersections)

Linda Wheeland introduced Administrative Modification #14:

Dirksen Parkway at Cook and at Singer

Status of this project has changed to "Advanced Construction" and the FY 2013-2016 Transportation Improvement Program has been modified to add AC to the federal funding sources as shown below.

Project/Jurisdiction/Class	Description	Action/Comments	Funding Source	Total Cost
A28 IL 29/Dirksen Parkway State Principal Arterial	Termini: at Cook Street and at Singer Avenue	Traffic Signal Modernization	NHPP - State (AC)	240,000
			HSIP - State (AC)	135,000
	Project#: 72G05		State	35,000
	TIP#: 04-2014-05		Springfield	40,000
				\$450,000

B. Administrative Modification #15 (Interstate 72)

Linda Wheeland introduced Administrative Modification #15:

I-72 From East Of I-55 To East Of Overpass Road

Status of this project has changed to "Advanced Construction" and the FY 2013-2016 Transportation Improvement Program has been modified to add AC to the federal funding source as shown below.

Project/Jurisdiction/Class	Description	Action/Comments	Map #	Funding Source	Total Cost
I-72 State Interstate	Termini: E. of I-55 in Springfield to E. of Overpass Rd.	Resurfacing, Bridge Repairs	60	NHPP-State (AC)	11,880,000
	Contract#: 72C90			State	1,320,000
	TIP#: 04-2014-01				
					\$13,200,000

V. PUBLIC INFORMATION SESSION ON THE DRAFT 2014-2017 TIP

Norm Sims asked Linda Wheeland how long the draft TIP had been out for public review, and Wheeland stated that it had been since September 5th at several physical locations as well as on the SATS website. Wheeland explained that the October 3, 2013 SATS Technical Committee meeting was to serve as a forum to receive public comments or questions on the Draft 2014-2017 Transportation Improvement Program before its approval, and opened the floor for any public comments. There were no comments. Additionally, no public comments had been received prior to this meeting.

There being no public comments, Tim Zahn made a motion to recommend approval of the 2014-2017 TIP. Frank Squires seconded the motion and the vote to recommend approval to the Policy Committee was unanimous.

VI. TECHNICAL ADVISOR UPDATES

A. Illinois Department of Transportation: Central Bureau of Urban Program Planning

No report was given.

B. Federal Highway Administration: Illinois Division Office

No report was given.

C. Illinois Department of Transportation: Local Roads and Streets

No report was given.

D. Illinois Commerce Commission

No report was given.

E. Springfield Airport Authority

Roger Blickensderfer reported that the airport authority is winding down existing construction work and that they had received additional funding from the very end of FY 2013 for snow removal equipment.

F. Illinois Department of Transportation: Division of Public and Intermodal Transportation

No report was given.

VII. AGENCY UPDATES**A. Springfield-Sangamon County Regional Planning Commission**

Norm Sims announced that the Illinois MPO Advisory Council would be meeting Friday to discuss, among other things, the performance measures process being facilitated by IDOT. Sims noted that he was chairing a technical advisory group formed for this specific purpose. Sims told the committee that if any members wanted any items on the agenda for this group's meetings, that they could let him know.

Sims also reported that there were some recent actions taken by the Citizens' Efficiency Commission related to transportation, primarily via the proposed usage of alternate fuels for government vehicles and how that and the purchase of new vehicle parts may be done in a more cost-efficient manner. Sims stated that the Planning Commission would be putting information on this out in the near future via the next edition of the TrendLines newsletter.

Linda Wheeland reported that she had heard from Thomas Caldwell in the previous week that the IDOT Central Office had been contacting all of the MPOs to request that someone be designated to review all of the ITEP applications, before they are forwarded to the group that makes the final determination on who receives ITEP grant money. Wheeland stated that she had not heard anything more specific regarding this review process. Sims stated that this is under the program that has since been merged under the Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) in MAP-21, used to fund bicycle and pedestrian transportation projects, and that it would allow MPOs to become aware of such projects that may not be brought to their attention until they are reported to the general public via the various arms of the media. Sims said being informed of ITEP project applications is important in order to identify problems with a particular project at an early enough phase at which it can be fixed.

B. Springfield Mass Transit District

No report was given.

C. City of Springfield

Nathan Bottom reported that the city's overlay program was currently underway in both the Northgate and Westchester subdivisions, as well as work on the sidewalk program in Northgate. Work on the western half of the Chatham Road bridge is nearing completion and Bottom stated that he expects work on the east half to begin shortly.

D. Sangamon County

No report was given.

E. Illinois Department of Transportation: Region 4, District 6

Sal Madonia reported that IDOT had hosted its Multi-Year Plan (MYP) outreach meeting the previous evening at Lincoln Library and that it was well attended. Madonia stated that the comments would be compiled and then sent on to the central office.

Linda Wheeland mentioned that there was supposed to be outreach for the Illinois Bicycle Transportation Plan as part of the same meeting but that this did not occur. Madonia explained that information was

provided for this meeting as part of the outreach, but that the consultant for this project did not attend, and that the consultant may have been at other MYP meetings.

Norm Sims said that given the number of public outreach meetings that had been occurring recently, that it may be useful to attempt to coordinate the meetings.

F. Village of Chatham

No report was given.

VIII. PUBLIC COMMENTS

There were no public comments.

IX. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

A. Small Community MPO Representation (Tabled)

Chairman Bottom indicated that no SATS member had requested the Small Community MPO Representation discussion be brought off the table.

X. NEW BUSINESS

A. INVEST – System Planning Module Presentation

Dale Schultz presented an overview of FHWA's INVEST planning module tool. INVEST is a practical, voluntary, self-scoring tool that can be used to help incorporate sustainability into planning projects, focusing on environmental, economic, and social impacts. Schultz explained that the previous INVEST presentation from Neha Soni had been on the Project Development Module, and that this second INVEST presentation would be on the subject of the System Planning module.

Schultz explained that the System Planning component, the first step in the lifecycle of a project, is used to assess and analyze an agency's street network to improve safety, capacity, access, operations, and other key transportation issues. Schultz then went through each of the 16 regular and one bonus criteria, each of which, listed as follows, were explained in detail, and tied to a specific goal (see Attachment 1 for the presentation slides which include lists of objectives tied to each goal).

- **Integrated Planning – Land Use and Economic Development (5 Objectives)**
 - Goal: Integrate LRTP with statewide, regional, and/or local land use plans and economic development forecasts and goals.
- **Integrated Planning – Natural Environment (4 Objectives)**
 - Goal: Integrate ecological considerations into the transportation planning process, including the LRTP and TIP.
- **Integrated Planning – Social (4 Objectives)**
 - Goal: The agency's LRTP is consistent with and supportive of the community's vision and goals.
- **Integrated Planning – Bonus (1 Objective)**
 - Goal: The agency has a continuing, cooperative, and comprehensive planning process.
- **Access and Affordability (4 Objectives)**
 - Goal: Enhance accessibility and affordability of the transportation system to all users and by multiple modes.
- **Safety Planning (7 Objectives)**
 - Goal: Agency integrates quantitative measures of safety into the transportation planning process, across all modes and jurisdictions.
- **Multimodal Transportation and Public Health (4 Objectives)**
 - Goal: Expand travel choices and modal options by enhancing the extent and connectivity of multimodal infrastructure. Support and enhance public health by investing in active

transportation modes.

- **Freight and Goods Movement (4 Objectives)**
 - Goal: Implement a transportation system plan that meets freight access and mobility needs while also supporting triple bottom line sustainability principles.
- **Travel Demand Management (4 Objectives)**
 - Goal: Reduce vehicle travel demand throughout the system.
- **Air Quality (2 Objectives)**
 - Goal: To plan, implement, and monitor multimodal strategies to reduce emissions and to establish a process to document emissions reductions.
- **Energy and Fuels (4 Objectives)**
 - Goal: Reduce the energy and fossil fuel consumption from the transportation sector and document it in the transportation planning process.
- **Financial Sustainability (2 Objectives)**
 - Goal: Evaluate and document that financial commitments made in transportation planning documents are reasonable and affordable.
- **Analysis Methods (4 Objectives)**
 - Goal: Evaluate and document that financial commitments made in transportation planning documents are reasonable and affordable.
- **Transportation Systems Management and Operations (4 Objectives)**
 - Goal: Optimize the efficiency of the existing transportation system.
- **Linking Asset Management and Planning (3 Objectives)**
 - Goal: Leverage transportation asset management data and methods within the transportation planning process to make informed, cost-effective program decisions and better use existing transportation assets.
- **Infrastructure Resiliency (4 Objectives)**
 - Goal: Anticipate, assess, and plan to respond to vulnerabilities and risks associated with current and future hazards (including those associated with climate change) to ensure multi-modal transportation system reliability and resiliency.
- **Linking Planning and NEPA (3 Objectives)**
 - Goal: Integrate transportation system planning process information, analysis, and decisions with the project-level environmental review process, and reference it in NEPA documentation.

Linda Wheeland stated that she believed that while the Long Range Transportation Plan is being updated, that the INVEST software could serve as a good guide to assist in the process. Norm Sims added that he thought doing so would be important because it encourages the analysis of a project in a manner even more holistic than what SATS had previously done. This includes the incorporation of safety and fiscal issues into the equation, rather than just going out to build a road, as well as including some quantitative analysis, such as performance measures, in the early part of the planning process, in part to help determine if such a quantitatively measured goal is feasible.

Sims added that he believes the functionality of this software is consistent with recent federal initiatives that go beyond “complete streets,” in terms of thinking about roads beyond the idea of just transportation in and of itself and include environmental and economic objectives in a transportation systems analysis. Sims stated he believes that this is one of the purposes of INVEST, and where he believes incentives and requirements for awarding grants at the federal level will be going for the purpose of transportation planning.

Wheeland announced that she and Neha Soni had been invited to go to the AMPO (Association of Metropolitan Planning Organizations) Conference to give the presentation on INVEST’s Project Development module at the end of October. Sims asked J.D. Stevenson if any other agency in Illinois had been using INVEST, and the only other one he knew of using INVEST was the Illinois Tollway Authority.

J.D. Stevenson described the INVEST tool as a means for agencies to determine where they are at from a planning perspective in the present moment, and give a means for agencies to decide whether or not that is where they want to be, or if there is room for improvement. Linda Wheeland then stated that other

agencies use INVEST as a way to evaluate their long range plans and determine any needed improvements via the points system inherent to INVEST, and believed that this would be a good approach for SATS as well.

It was the consensus of the Technical Committee members present to utilize the INVEST planning module as a guide to update the Long Range Transportation Plan.

B. Annual Listing of Federally Obligated Projects FY 2013

Linda Wheeland stated that SATS is required every year to put together a document containing a list of federally obligated projects (see Attachment 2), based on information received from FHWA and SMTD on transportation projects in Sangamon County that received some federal funding. Wheeland distributed copies at the meeting and noted that the document was also on the SATS website.

Wheeland added that in the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) put out every year by SATS, all of the projects that are expected to receive federal funding must be included. Other projects are also included to give readers of the document the full picture. The annual listing, on the other hand, specifically lists those projects in the TIP that had received federal funding (or had been obligated to do so) in the most recent fiscal year.

J.D. Stevenson added that the difference between funding values shown in the TIP and the list of obligated projects differ as the values shown in the TIP are “planning level estimates” of the project, not an exact amount which is known to be needed for specific projects. At the time of a project’s obligation much more is known about the funding needed to turn a project into reality. Ultimately, the TIP shows a list of projects that are planned, and the federally obligated list shows projects which actually have funding designated. However, according to Wheeland, some projects that are planned to have federal funding, but had received money only from other sources in the last year, will not show in the list of federally obligated projects. Sims added that open projects that had previously received federal money but not in the previous fiscal year need not be listed, even though they may still receive federal money again in the future.

C. Next Meeting Date – Thursday, November 7, 2013 at 8:30 AM

XI. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, Chairman Bottom called to adjourn. Frank Squires made a motion to adjourn. Sal Madonia seconded the motion and the vote to adjourn was unanimous.

There being no further business, the regular meeting was adjourned at 9:00 AM.

Respectfully Submitted,

Brian Sheehan
Recording Secretary

FHWA INVEST

Infrastructure Voluntary Evaluation
Sustainability Tool

What is it?

- Practical tool
- Web-based
- Collection of voluntary best practices
- Designed to help integrate sustainability into programs and projects
- Targets the triple bottom line
 - Environmental
 - Economic
 - Social

Includes 3 Criteria Modules

- System Planning
- Project Development
- Operations and Maintenance

System Planning

- First step in the lifecycle of a project
- Agency's network is analyzed and assessed to identify projects that will improve safety, capacity, access, operation and other key features
- 16 regular and 1 bonus criteria used to score projects

Integrated Planning: Land Use and Economic Development

- Goal: Integrate LRTP with statewide, regional, and/or local land use plans and economic development forecasts and goals.
- Points awarded for:
 - Develop and Adopt Goals and Objectives
 - Engage Partner Agencies
 - Use Best Practice Quantitative Methods
 - Provide Leadership
 - Demonstrate Sustainable Outcomes

Integrated Planning: Natural Environment

- Goal: Integrate ecological considerations into the transportation planning process, including the LRTP and TIP.
- Points awarded for:
 - Develop and Adopt Goals and Objectives
 - Engage Natural Resource and Regulatory Agencies
 - Apply System or Landscape-Scale Evaluation Techniques
 - Demonstrate Sustainable Outcomes

Integrated Planning: Social

- Goal: The agency's LRTP is consistent with and supportive of the community's vision and goals.
- Points awarded for:
 - Work toward a Shared Vision
 - Engage a Diverse Range of Stakeholders and Public Participants
 - Use a Transparent Process and Demonstrate the Incorporation of Stakeholder Input
 - Demonstrate Sustainable Outcomes

Integrated Planning: Bonus

- Goal: The agency has a continuing, cooperative, and comprehensive planning process.
- Points awarded for:
 - Transportation Planning Occurs within an Integrated and Collaborative Planning Process

Access & Affordability

- Goal: Enhance accessibility and affordability of the transportation system to all users and by multiple modes.
- Points awarded for:
 - Discussion/Consideration in Transportation Planning Documents
 - Quantitative Analysis
 - Performance Measurement and Regular Monitoring

Safety Planning

- Goal: Agency integrates quantitative measures of safety into the transportation planning process, across all modes and jurisdictions.
- Points awarded for:
 - Collaborate and Participate in the Development and Implementation of the State Strategic Highway Safety Plan
 - Integrate the Toward Zero Death Vision into the Agency's Vision for Transportation Planning
 - Develop a Plan that Incorporates Safety into Short and Long-Range Transportation Planning
 - Integrate Quantitative Safety Performance Measures into the Transportation Planning Process
 - Integrate Quantitative Safety Considerations in the Selection and Evaluation of Strategies during the Transportation Planning Process
 - Integrate Statistically Sound Approaches to Determine Projected Safety Performance into the Long-Range Transportation Planning Process
 - Collect and Maintain Data (Safety and Non - Crash Information) for the Public Roadway System to Incorporate Safety into the Long - Range Transportation Planning Process

Multimodal Transportation and Public Health

- Goal: Expand travel choices and modal options by enhancing the extent and connectivity of multimodal infrastructure. Support and enhance public health by investing in active transportation modes.
- Points awarded for:
 - Develop Goals and Objectives
 - Engage Stakeholders
 - Develop a System-wide Plan
 - Measure Progress and Demonstrate Sustainable Outcomes

Freight and Goods Movement

- Goal: Implement a transportation system plan that meets freight access and mobility needs while also supporting triple bottom line sustainability principles.
- Points awarded for:
 - Engage Stakeholders
 - Freight Mobility Needs
 - Freight Reliability
 - Intermodal Freight Connectors

Travel Demand Management

- Goal: Reduce vehicle travel demand throughout the system.
- Points awarded for:
 - Set TDM Goals and Objectives
 - Implement a TDM Program
 - Develop TDM Performance Measures & Monitor Progress
 - Demonstrate Sustainable Outcomes

Air Quality

- Goal: To plan, implement, and monitor multimodal strategies to reduce emissions and to establish a process to document emissions reductions.
- Points awarded for:
 - Implement Strategies to Reduce Emissions
 - Conduct Emissions Analysis

Energy and Fuels

- Goal: Reduce the energy and fossil fuel consumption from the transportation sector and document it in the transportation planning process.
- Points awarded for:
 - Set Goals and Objectives
 - System - Level Data Collection and Forecasting
 - Develop a Plan and Implement Strategies to Reduce Transportation - related Energy and/or Fossil Fuel Usage
 - Measure Progress and Demonstrate Sustainable Outcomes

Financial Sustainability

- Goal: Evaluate and document that financial commitments made in transportation planning documents are reasonable and affordable.
- Points awarded for:
 - *Advanced Revenue Forecasting*
 - *Advanced Cost Estimating*

Analysis Methods

- Goal: Agencies adopt and incentivize best practices in land use, socioeconomic, and transportation systems analysis methods.
- Points awarded for:
 - Quality of Data
 - Technical Committee
 - Program Support
 - Peer Review

Transportation Systems Management & Operations

- Goal: Optimize the efficiency of the existing transportation system.
- Points awarded for:
 - Set TSM&O Policies, Goals, and Objectives
 - Develop a Plan for TSM&O Strategies
 - Support or Implement TSM&O Strategies
 - Establish Performance Goals and Monitor Progress

Linking Asset Management and Planning

- Goal: Leverage transportation asset management data and methods within the transportation planning process to make informed, cost-effective program decisions and better use existing transportation assets.
- Points awarded for:
 - Incorporate Asset Management Based Performance Measures
 - Incorporate Asset Management Data and Economic Analysis to Prioritize Investments
 - Prioritize Maintenance and Preservation

Infrastructure Resiliency

- Goal: Anticipate, assess, and plan to respond to vulnerabilities and risks associated with current and future hazards (including those associated with climate change) to ensure multi-modal transportation system reliability and resiliency.
- Points awarded for:
 - Hazard Identification
 - Vulnerability Assessment
 - Risk Assessment
 - Develop and Implement Adaptation Strategies

Linking Planning and NEPA

- Goal: Integrate transportation system planning process information, analysis, and decisions with the project-level environmental review process, and reference it in NEPA documentation.
- Points awarded for:
 - Document Linkages between Transportation System Planning and NEPA
 - Consult NEPA Practitioners
 - Apply System Planning Results to NEPA Projects

Annual Listing of Federally Obligated Projects FY 2013



Table of Contents

Introduction	30
Funding Sources.....	31
Project Listings	32
Project Map.....	35

Introduction

This report lists all surface transportation projects in Sangamon County receiving Federal funds during the 2013 fiscal year. Projects which have been listed in the Springfield Area Transportation Study (SATS) Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) include a TIP project number.

All references to project descriptions, bicycle and pedestrian accommodations, and project phases of work were obtained from SATS TIP documents and from the 2035 Long-Range Transportation Plan. Federal highway funding obligation data for FY 2013 and federal funding sources were obtained from the Federal Highway Administration FMIS report for Sangamon County. Federal transit funding obligation data was provided by the Springfield Mass Transit District.

For some projects, the federal funding obligation listed in the FMIS report was different than the amount of federal funds reported in the SATS TIP. For these cases, a column was included in the Project Listings table to show the difference between the two totals if the obligated amount was less than the TIP amount.

Most projects in the Project Listings have been assigned a map number and have been included in a map at the end of this document. Projects in the table that were not assigned map numbers involve multiple project locations that were hard to illustrate and thus were not mapped.

Federally Obligated Funding Sources

Federal Funding Source	Program
HSIP	Highway Safety Improvement Program
IM	Interstate Maintenance
ITEP	Illinois Transportation Enhancement Program
Nat'l Scenic Byways	National Scenic Byways
NHPP	National Highway Priority Program
NHS	National Highway System
SRTS	Safe Routes to School
STP-Br	Surface Transportation Program - Bridge
STP-Off Sys Br	Surface Transportation Program - Off System Bridge
STP-Rural	Surface Transportation Program - Rural
STP-URB	Surface Transportation Program - Urban

Project Listings

Federally Obligated and Advance Construction FHWA Projects in the Springfield Metropolitan Planning Area and Other Areas of Sangamon County FY 2013 (July 1, 2012 – June 30, 2013)

Map No.	TIP No.	Sponsor Agency	Description	Bicycle or Pedestrian Component	Federal Funds Indicated in TIP	Federal Funding Source	Phase of Work	Federal Funds Obligated in State FY 2013	Advance Construction*	Difference Between Federal Obligation and TIP Projected Funding
8	02-2011-05	Springfield	Replace the bridge carrying FAU 8006 (Chatham Road) over Jacksonville Branch 0.3 mile north of Wabash Avenue.	N/A	\$2,356,000	STP-Br	Land Acquisition	\$0	\$20,000	\$0
							Construction	2,689,154	\$0	
17/18	04-2013-06	State	1.92 miles of resurfacing on IL.4/97 from 0.1 mi N.of Washington St. extending W.to Koke Mill Rd & E. to Spring Creek E.of Veterans Parkway in Spfld	N/A	\$800,000	NHS	All	\$1,490,678	see note	\$0
	\$1,040,000				STP-URB	\$988,204		\$0	\$51,796	
22	03-2012-12	County	Replace the bridge carrying FAS 624 (CH 40, New City Road) over Brush Creek, 3 miles west of New City.	N/A	\$600,000	STP-Br	All	\$597,057	\$0	\$2,943
27	03-2012-13	County	Sangamon Valley Trail north of Stuart Park Connector to north of Cantrall Crk Rd - construction of a bike trail	Multi-use Trail	\$153,600	ITEP	Preliminary Engineering I & II	\$153,589	\$0	\$11
40	01-2013-01	Chatham	Preliminary engineering necessary to revise the project development report for the trail corridor and develop plans for the construction of the next phase of the Plummer Boulevard multi-use trail from Park Avenue to Gordon Drive	Multi-use Trail	\$350,400	ITEP	Preliminary Engineering	\$0.00	\$37,080	\$350,400
55	04-2013-11	State	5.3 mi of resurf. & box culvert extensions on IL.4 from Church St. in Chatham to the Auburn N.corporate limits	N/A	\$1,125,000	STP-Rural	All	\$0.00	\$79,898	\$1,440,000
					\$315,000	STP-URB		\$0.00	\$1,327,172	
56	04-2013-12	State	0.51 miles of earth excavation, widening, curb & gutter, milling, shoulders, signals, sidewalks, etc. on 6th St. Rd. from Stevenson Drive to the 6th Street Frontage Road in Springfield	Sidewalks	\$800,000	STP-URB	All	\$890,227	\$0	\$0
59	04-2013-15	State	Installation of lighting on IL 97 (Jefferson Street) at the intersections with Koke Mill Rd, Hazlett Ln, and Moore Rd	N/A	\$45,000	HSIP	All	\$0	\$35,562	\$45,000
90	03-2013-03	Auburn Road District	Replace the structure carrying TR 162 over Panther Crk, located .50 mi northwest of Auburn	N/A	\$300,000	STP-Off Sys Br	All	\$320,000	\$0	\$0
91	04-2013-10	State	Installation of highway lighting on IL 29 at Taft Drive, Camelot Drive, and Rochester High School entrance in Rochester.	N/A	\$68,000	HSIP	All	\$63,083	\$0	\$4,917
92	N/A	State	Resurfacing and bridge repair on I-72 from 1.5 miles east of Lanesville Rd (CH 34) to 1.3 miles east.	N/A	\$0	IM	All	\$0	\$1,800,000	\$0
N/A	N/A	Buffalo	Construction, repair, & replacement of sidewalks from homes to Tri-City schools along Elizabeth, W. Charles, McManus, South, West, & Wells Streets	Sidewalks	\$0	SRTS	Preliminary Engineering I & II	\$31,897	\$0	\$0
N/A	N/A	Divernon	Construct, repair, & replace sidewalks along First St, State St, Lincoln St, High School St, Dodds St, Kenney St, Springer St & Wells St	Sidewalks	\$0	SRTS	Construction	\$17,500	\$0	\$0

Attachment 2 Page 6 of 8

Map No.	TIP No.	Sponsor Agency	Description	Bicycle or Pedestrian Component	Federal Funds Indicated in TIP	Federal Funding Source	Phase of Work	Federal Funds Obligated in State FY 2013	Advance Construction*	Difference Between Federal Obligation and TIP Projected Funding
N/A	09-2014-02	IL. Rt. 66 Scenic Byway	The IL. Rte.66 Heritage Project Inc. will purchase & install 5 bicycle racks at key sites along Route 66.	Bicycle Racks	\$5,242	Nat'l Scenic Byways	All	\$5,242	\$0	\$0
N/A	09-2014-01	Riverton	Sidewalk construction & pavement markings at various locations in the Village of Riverton.	Sidewalks	\$250,000	SRTS	All	\$0.00	\$237,200	\$250,000
N/A	05-2013-01	Sherman	Replacement of traffic regulatory signs at various locations within the Village/City limits of Sherman, Curran, Grandview, Jerome, Leland Grove, Riverton, Rochester, Southern View, & Spaulding	N/A	\$307,064	HSIP	Preliminary Engineering	\$13,260	\$0	\$293,804
							Installation	\$0	\$0	
N/A	N/A	State	Removing & installing delineators @ 3 different locations on I-55 & I-155 in Logan and Sangamon counties.	N/A	\$0	HSIP	All	\$8,858	\$0	\$0
N/A	N/A	Williamsville	New sidewalk, replace existing sidewalks, multi-use path and pavement reconstruction; South Walnut, West Corney and South Pine	Sidewalks Multi-use Path	\$0	SRTS	All	\$165,129	\$0	\$0
TOTALS					\$8,515,306			\$7,433,878	\$3,536,912	\$2,438,871

Note: The NHS portion of this project was in "Advance Construction" status but was converted to "Obligated".

* Advance Construction indicates when a project sponsor utilized local funding to implement a project but desires to convert to federal funding at a later date. Sometimes this is done because a sponsor cannot defer implementation of a project as they await federal funds to become available.

**Springfield Mass Transit District (SMTD)
Federally Obligated FTA Projects in the Metropolitan Planning Area
FY 2013 (July 1, 2012 – June 30, 2013)**

Capital Projects		Federal Apportionment
Brake Lathe and Adaptor	\$6,825	
Electric Scissor Lift	\$12,250	
Multi-modal Needs Assessment	\$58,200	
2 Portable Generators	\$3,024	
Stationary Steam Pressure Washer	\$13,200	
Torque Wrench	\$9,975	
Rolling Stock	\$1,806,464	
Maintenance Diagnostic Equipment	\$12,000	
Land Acquisition	\$290,000	
Relocation Assistance	\$20,000	
Total Capital Projects		
Operating Funding		
JARC Night Service	\$62,656	
Expansion Route	\$79,909	
General Operating (pending award)	\$1,105,188	
Total Operating Funding		
Total Federal Apportionment		\$3,479,691

